

Table 3. The compatibility of Wild Resources Areas Alternatives I-V and Recreation Alternatives A-D for the NHAL

	Wild Resources Areas Alternative I (3 areas)	Wild Resources Areas Alternative II (5 areas)	Wild Resources Areas Alternative III (6 areas)	Wild Resources Areas Alternative IV (11 areas)	Wild Resources Areas Alternative V (13 areas)
Recreation Alternative A	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	One area incompatible due to a bike trail
Recreation Alternative B	Compatible	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Five areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail.	Six areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail
Recreation Alternative C	Compatible	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Five areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail.	Six areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail
Recreation Alternative D	Compatible	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Two areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails	Five areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail.	Five areas incompatible due to snowmobile trails, one area due to ATV and snowmobile trails and one due to a bike trail and a snowmobile trail

Land Management and Wild Resources Areas

The goals and restrictions of the range of wild resource alternatives are *incompatible* with Land Management Alternatives 1-5 which are based on natural community goals. Land Management Alternative 6 is based on 36% of the property being in Wild Resources Areas with aesthetics as the primary management goal. We are asking participants to look carefully at these alternatives to understand the tradeoffs between having large areas without active land management versus having the ability to achieve ecological restoration and habitat management goals. It is important to realize that the preferred alternative developed in the next step in the planning process will likely be a combination of elements presented in this range of alternatives rather than being one of the alternatives as presented.

The reason that Land Management Alternatives 1-5 are incompatible with the wild resource alternatives is that most of ecosystems on the NHAL require disturbance for restoration or maintenance. Land Management Alternatives 1-5 are based on extensive studies on the NHAL ecology and almost 100 years of management experience on this property. This collective scientific information was used by an integrated team of endangered species biologists, wildlife biologists, ecologists and foresters to provide the management prescriptions necessary to achieve different land management alternatives. Capabilities